

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 548 (1997) 309-313



Short communication

Electrocatalytic process in the reduction of $\{Nb[\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4}(SiMe_{3})]_{2}(Cl)(NHPh)\}BF_{4}$

Z. Modarres Tehrani^a, D. Lucas^a, Y. Mugnier^{a,*}, A. Antiñolo^b, A. Otero^b, M. Fajardo^c, A. Garces^c, C. Lopez-Mardomingo^c

^a Laboratoire de Synthèse et d'Electrosynthèse Organométalliques, associé au CNRS (UMR 5632), Faculté des Sciences, 6, Boulevard Gabriel, 21000 Dijon, France

^b Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Paseo de la Universidad 4, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain ^c Departamento de Química Inorgánica y Química Orgánica, Campus Universitario, Universidad de Alcala de Henares, 28871 Alcala de

Henares, Spain

Received 30 May 1997; received in revised form 27 June 1997

Abstract

Protonation of the biscyclopentadienyl imido niobium complex $(\eta^5-C_5H_4SiMe_3)_2Nb(Cl)(=NPh)$ affords the corresponding cationic amido complex $[(\eta^5-C_5H_4SiMe_3)_2Nb(Cl)(NHPh)]^+$, 1. Starting from 1, an interesting amido group elimination reaction has been found to take place, reaction which must be electrochemically induced. © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A.

Keywords: Niobium; Protonation; Imido and amido complex; Electroreduction

1. Introduction

Transition metal complexes having imido and oxo ligands are of continuing interest in organometallic chemistry [1–3]. An increasing number of early transition metal complexes having two-electron doubly-bonded oxo and imido ligands have been recently reported [4–9] which despite high reactivity and, in some cases, will even activate C–H [5–7].

Recently some of us described the synthesis of the imido niobocene complex $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_4 SiMe_3)_2 Nb(Cl)(= NPh)$ [10]. We now wish to present new features on the reactivity of that complex regarding protonation reaction together with a complete report of the electroreductive behaviour of the resultant cationic amido complex.

2. Results and discussion

Synthesis of the title compound, $[Cp'_2Nb(Cl)(NHPh)]BF_4$, has been realized from protonation of the imido niobocene complex $Cp'_2Nb(Cl)(=$ NPh) (see Section 3). Numerous reactions of imido complexes with electrophiles have been reported where the attacking electrophile is commonly a proton [11–13]. In our case HBF₄ has been used as the proton donor according to the following reaction:



¹ The following abbreviations will be used within the text: THF: tetrahydrofuran; DCM: dichloromethane; TBAHFP: tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate; STPB: sodium tetraphenylborate; Cp': η^5 -C₅H₄SiMe₃; SCE: saturated calomel electrode; ESR: electron spin resonance; M: mol 1⁻¹.

^{*} Corresponding author.

⁰⁰²²⁻³²⁸X/97/\$17.00 © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved. PII \$0022-328X(97)00452-X



Fig. 1. Cyclic voltammogram of 1 (c = 2 mM) in THF 0.2 M TBAHFP on carbon disk electrode. (a) at room temperature; (b) at 40°C. Sweep rate: 50 mV s⁻¹. Initial potential: +0.7 V.

The reverse reaction can be readily achieved using a strong base like NBu_4OH :



In THF 0.2 M TBAHFP the cyclic voltammogram of 1 on a carbon disk electrode at room temperature (see Fig. 1a) exhibits four reduction peaks R_1 , R_2 , A and B $(E_{p,R_1} = -0.11 \text{ V}, E_{p,R_2} = -0.52 \text{ V}, E_{p,A} = -1.02 \text{ V}, E_{p,B} \approx -1.6 \text{ V})$ on the cathodic scan. Three significant peaks A', R'_2 and E' $(E_{p,A'} = -0.92 \text{ V}, E_{p,R'_2} = -0.28 \text{ V}, E_{p,E'} = +0.5 \text{ V})$ are seen on the reverse scan when the potential sweep is inverted after peak B; A' and



Fig. 2. Cyclic voltammogram of 1 (c = 2 mM) in DCM 0.2 M TBAHFP on carbon disk electrode. (a) at room temperature; (b) at -25° C. Sweep rate: 200 mV s⁻¹. Initial potential: +0.2 V.

peaks R'_2 and E' are due to species generated along peaks A and R_2 , respectively. A and E' are relevant to the reduction and oxidation of the well-known dichloro derivative Cp'_2NbCl_2 , **2** [14,15].

Changes in the voltammetric profile are observed with the temperature: at 40°C R_2 intensity is significantly reduced as a new reversible system A^*/A'^* $(E_{p,A^*} = -0.83 \text{ V}, E_{p,A^{*'}} = -0.72 \text{ V})$ appear which is situated at slightly less negative potentials than A/A'(see Fig. 1b).

In CH₂Cl₂ 0.2 M TBAHFP 1 shows the cyclic voltammogram of Fig. 2.; compared to Fig. 1a peaks R_1 and R_2 are reencountered but with no associated anodic peaks on the reverse scan; in the place of peak A a continuously increasing current is registered due to the electrochemically catalysed reduction of the chlorinated solvent as previously mentioned [16]. At low temperature (-25° C) the latter process does not take place and the well-defined reversible system A/A' is observed.

Exhaustive electrolysis on mercury pool at the potential of -0.2 V and at room temperature in THF results in the consumption of less than 1 F per mol of initial

Table 1 Coulometric data for the electrolysis of 1 on mercury pool (solute concentration: 2–3 mM)

Entry	Solvent/supporting electrolyte	<i>T</i> (°C)	Potential (V versus SCE)	Q (F per mol of 1)	Electrolysis product colour
1	THF 0.2 M TBAHFP	20	-0.2	0.66	brown
2	THF 0.2 M TBAHFP	40	-0.2	0.2	green
3	DCM 0.2 M TBAHFP	0	-0.4	0.55	orange
4	THF 0.2 M STPB	20	-0.45	0.1	orange



Fig. 3. Cyclic voltammogram of 1 (c = 2 mM) in THF 0.2 M TBAHFP on carbon disk electrode after electrolysis on mercury pool at -0.2 V. Sweep rate: 100 mV s⁻¹. Initial potential: +0 V.

complex (see Table 1, entry 1) as the solution colour turns from red to brown. The cyclic voltammogram of the electrolysed solution is depicted on Fig. 3 and exhibits two reduction peaks A^* ($E_{p,A^*} = -0.78$ V) and A and two oxidation peaks A' and R'₂ on the reverse scan. ESR analysis provides a signal which consists in the sum of two well-resolved ten-line spectra (see Fig. 4) corresponding to the presence in solution of two individual paramagnetic niobium (IV) complexes ($g_{iso,+} = 1.995$, $A_{iso,+} = 1.027 \cdot 10^{-2}$ cm⁻¹ and $g_{iso,+} =$ 2.002, $A_{iso,+} = 1.074 \cdot 10^{-2}$ cm⁻¹). One of the ESR signal components has been clearly attributed to Cp'₂NbCl₂, **2** (marked * on Fig. 4).



Fig. 4. ESR spectrum of 1 (c = 2 mM) after reduction in THF 0.2 M TBAHFP on mercury pool at -0.2 V.

When the electrolysis was carried out at higher temperature (40°C), coulometric consumption dropped to 0.2 F per mol of 1 (see Table 1, entry 2) and 2 was the only species observed by ESR analysis.

As indicated in Table 1 the coulometric consumption is highly dependent on the experimental conditions. However in each case 2 was identified as the major electrolysis product.

The above results can be rationalized according to Scheme 1.

This type of square scheme was first described by Jacq [17] and is often used to explain ligand exchange reactions in organometallic chemistry [17-36] [37-39]. Our mechanism would refer to the case where the electrocatalytic process is associated with an endergonic cross electron transfer propagation step [40,41].

In Scheme 1, the one-electron reduction of 1 (reaction a) affords the neutral amido niobium (IV) derivative 3 which is very unstable even in the time scale of cyclic voltammetry and rapidly dissociates into the niobium (III) complex Cp'_2NbCl, 4, through elimination of the organic moiety formulated as 'PhNH · ' with a change in the metal oxidation number from +IV to +III (b); 4 is oxidized at the potential of peak R'_2 .

4 reduces 1 according to reaction (c) which is driven by the irreversible fast reaction (b).

At the time scale of cyclic voltammetry cationic species 5, generated from reaction (c), is reduced at the potential of peak R_2 . As the system A/A' is seen on Fig. 1a we can suggest that formation of 2 arises from a chloro ligand exchange reaction between electrogenerated Cp'_2NbCl and Cp'_2NbCl⁺ formed along reaction (c) as it has been previously mentioned [42]. However, in the time scale of electrolysis [Cp'_2NbCl]⁺ evolves through Eq. (3) to give Cp'_2NbCl_2, 2, and transient





Fig. 5. Polarogram (average current) of 2 (c = 2.5 mM) in THF 0.2 M STPB. (a) 2 alone; (b) after reduction on mercury pool at -1 V; (c) immediately after addition of 1 molar equivalent of 1.

species $[Cp'_2Nb]^{++}$ 6; indeed the peak R_2 is not found after electrolysis:

$$\frac{2Cp'_2NbCl^+ \rightarrow Cp'_2NbCl_2 + Cp'_2Nb^{++}}{5 2 6}$$
(3)

At room temperature these two paramagnetic species are detected from ESR analysis of the electrolysed solution. Only four dicationic paramagnetic niobocene compounds, $[Cp'_2Nb(L)_2]^{2+}$ $[Cp' = \eta^5-C_5H_4(SiMe_3),$ $L = P(OMe)_3$ and MeCN] [43] and $[Cp_2Nb(L)]PF_6$ [Cp $= \eta^5-C_5H_5$, L = bipyridine or *o*-phenanthroline] [44] and few monocationic analogues [45,46] have previously been reported.

At higher temperature (40°C) the only characteristic signal of Cp'_2NbCl_2 is seen in ESR spectroscopy. Under these experimental conditions and in the absence of suitable ligands, **6** which is probably reduced at the potential of peak A^* , should be very unstable and its decomposition occurs.

The amido radical PhNH \cdot has been previously postulated as an intermediate in the reaction of decomposition of phenyl azide in aliphatic hydrocarbons leading aniline, alkylamine, azobenzene and polymers [47,48]. The first step consists in the formation of a nitrene (singlet or triplet) which offers many reaction possibilities [49,50].

With the aim of proving reaction c, we have carried out the following experiment: 4 has been electrogenerated from one-electron reduction of 2 in THF 0.2 M NaBPh₄ as supporting electrolyte [51]. In polarography 4 exhibits two oxidation waves R'_2 and R'_3 (Fig. 5b). The addition of cationic species 1 in equivalent amount causes R'_2 and R'_3 to disappear and reduction wave A to appear (Fig. 5c) indicating that Cp'_2NbCl_2 , 2, is effectively formed (also verified from ESR).

3. Experimental details

3.1. Preparation of $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4}SiMe_{3})_{2}NbCl(NHPh)^{+}-BF_{4}^{-}$, **1**

To a solution of 0.50 g (1.00 mmol) of $(C_5H_4SiMe_3)_2NbCl(NPh)$ in 50 ml of diethyl ether,

0.18 ml (1.08 mmol) of $HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$ 6 M was added dropwise at 0°C until complete precipitation of the title compound. Yield: 69%.

¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 300 MHz): d 0.23 (s, 18H, SiMe₃), 6.90 (4H), 7.11 (4H)(m, C₅H₄SiMe₃), 7.20 (d, 2H), 7.30 (m, 1H), 7.49 (7, 2H)(phenyl ring), 12.79 (broad, 1H, NHC₆H₅)

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support from Direccíon General de Investigación Científica y Tecnica (DGICyT). (Grant No. PB92-0715) of Spain, from Electricité de France (EDF—Club Electrochimie Organique de France) and Conseil Régional de Bourgogne. We thank M. Compain for her technical assistance.

References

- W.A. Nugent, J.M. Mayer, Metal-ligand Multipled Bonds, Wiley, New York, 1988.
- [2] W.A. Nugent, B.L. Haymore, Coord. Chem. Rev. 31 (1980) 123.
- [3] D.E. Wigley, Prog. Inorg. Chem. 42 (1994) 239.
- [4] G. Parkin, J.E. Bercaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111 (1989) 391.
- [5] C.C. Cummins, S.M. Baxter, P.T. Wolczanski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110 (1988) 8731.
- [6] P.J. Walsh, F.J. Hollander, R.G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110 (1988) 8729.
- [7] C.C. Cummins, C.P. Schaller, G.D. Van Duyne, P.T. Wolczanski, A.W.E. Chan, R. Hoffmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113 (1991) 2985.
- [8] C.P. Schaller, P.T. Wolczanski, Inorg. Chem. 32 (1993) 131.
- [9] R.S. Huber, T.C. Baldwin, D.E. Wigley, Organometallics 12 (1993) 91.
- [10] A. Antiñolo, P. Espinosa, M. Fajardo, P. Gómez-Sal, C. Lopez-Mardomingo, A. Martin-Alonso, A. Otero, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1995) 1007.
- [11] J.M. Mayer, C.J. Curtis, J.E. Bercaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105 (1983) 2651.
- W.A. Herrmann, G. Weichselbaumer, R.A. Paciello, R.A. Fisher,
 E. Herdweck, J. Okuda, D. Marz, Organometallics 9 (1990) 489.
- [13] R. Toreki, R.R. Schrock, W.M. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114 (1992) 3367.
- [14] H. Nabaoui, A. Fakhr, Y. Mugnier, A. Antiñolo, M. Fajardo, A. Otero, P. Royo, J. Organomet. Chem. 338 (1988) C17.
- [15] A. Antiñolo, M. Fajardo, A. Otero, Y. Mugnier, H. Nabaoui, Y. Mourad, J. Organomet. Chem. 414 (1991) 155.
- [16] A. Fakhr, Y. Mugnier, A. Antiñolo, A. Otero, M. Fajardo, J. Organomet. Chem. 346 (1988) C49.
- [17] J. Jacq, J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem. 29 (1971) 191.
- [18] R.D. Rieke, H. Kojima, D. Ofele, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98 (1976) 6735.
- [19] G. Pilloni, G. Zotti, C. Corvaja, M. Martelli, J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem. 91 (1978) 395.
- [20] R.A. Rader, D.R. McMillin, Inorg. Chem. 18 (1979) 546.
- [21] J. Moraczewski, W.E. Geiger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101 (1979) 3407.

- [22] A.M. Bond, J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem. 50 (1974) 285.
- [23] A.M. Bond, R. Colton, J.J. Jackowski, Inorg. Chem. 14 (1975) 275.
- [24] A.M. Bond, R. Colton, M.J. Mc Cormick, Inorg. Chem. 16 (1977) 155.
- [25] A.M. Bond, B.S. Grabaric, Z. Grabaric, Inorg. Chem. 17 (1978) 103.
- [26] A.M. Bond, D.J. Darensbourg, E. Mocellin, B.J. Stewart, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103 (1981) 6827.
- [27] A.M. Bond, S.W. Carr, R. Colton, Inorg. Chem. 23 (1984) 2343.
- [28] A.M. Bond, S.W. Carr, R. Colton, Organometallics 3 (1984) 541.
- [29] A.M. Bond, S.W. Carr, J.E. Kavekordes, Inorg. Chem. 25 (1986) 749.
- [30] F.L. Wimmer, M.R. Snow, A.M. Bond, Inorg. Chem. 13 (1974) 1617.
- [31] A.M. Bond, B.S. Grabarid, J.J. Jackowski, Inorg. Chem. 17 (1978) 2153.
- [32] Y. Mugnier, C. Moise, E. Laviron, J. Organomet. Chem. 204 (1981) 61.
- [33] Y. Mugnier, C. Moise, E. Laviron, Nouv. J. Chim. 6 (1982) 197.
- [34] A. Fakhr, Y. Mugnier, R. Broussier, B. Gautheron, E. Laviron, J. Organomet. Chem. 255 (1983) C8.
- [35] A. Vallat, M. Person, L. Roullier, E. Laviron, Inorg. Chem. 26 (1987) 232.
- [36] D. Faure, D. Lexa, J.M. Savéant, J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem. 140 (1982) 265.

- [37] D. Lexa, P. Reutien, J.M. Savéant, F. Xu, J. Electroanal. Chem. 191 (1985) 253.
- [38] D. Lexa, J.M. Savéant, Acc. Chem. Res. 16 (1983) 235.
- [39] J.M. Savéant, Acc. Chem. Res. 13 (1980) 323.
- [40] C. Amatore, J.N. Verpeaux, A. Madonik, M.H. Desbuis, D. Astruc, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (1988) 200.
- [41] A. Da Rold, Y. Mugnier, R. Broussier, B. Gautheron, E. Laviron, J. Organomet. Chem. 369 (1989) C27.
- [42] H. Nabaoui, Y. Mugnier, A. Fakhr, E. Laviron, A. Antiñolo, F.A. Jalon, M. Fajardo, A. Otero, J. Organomet. Chem. 375 (1989) 67.
- [43] L. Roullier, D. Lucas, Y. Mugnier, A. Antiñolo, M. Fajardo, A. Otero, J. Organomet. Chem. 396 (1990) C12.
- [44] M.A.A.F. de C.T. Carrondo, J. Morais, C.C. Romao, L.F. Veiros, XVth Congress and General Assembly of IUG, Bordeaux, 1990
- [45] J. Arnolt, T.D. Tilley, A.L. Rheingold, S.J. Geib, Organometallics 6 (1987) 473.
- [46] A. Fakhr, Y. Mugnier, R. Broussier, B. Gautheron, J. Organomet. Chem. 375 (1989) 67.
- [47] J.H. Hall, J.W. Hill, J.M. Fargher, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90 (1968) 5313.
- [48] J.H. Hall, J.W. Hill, H. Tsai, Tetrahedron Lett. (1965) 2211.
- [49] B. Iddon, O. Meth-Cohn, E.F.V. Scriver, H. Suschitzky, P.T. Gallagher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 18 (1979) 900.
- [50] R.A. Aitken, in: A.C. Knipe, W.E. Watts (Eds.), Organic Reaction Mechanism, Wiley, 1990, p. 285.
- [51] D. Lucas, Y. Mugnier, A. Antiñolo, M. Fajardo, A. Otero, J. Organomet. Chem. 435 (1992) C3-C7.